Treewidth 000000 Branchwidth on graphs

Branchwidth on knots

Conclusion O

Tree-like width of knots and obstructions.

Corentin LUNEL Joint work with Arnaud de Mesmay. Université Gustave Eiffel, LIGM

Introduction	Treewidth	Branchwidth on graphs	Branchwidth c
•0	000000	000	000000

Conclusion O

Knots

Knot :

A knot is a tame embedding $\mathbb{S}^1 \to \mathbb{S}^3$ considered up to continuous deformation (ambient isotopy).



Natural algorithmic questions :

Is a given knot equivalent to the trivial one ? (NP and co-NP)

Introduction	Treewidth	Branchwidth on graphs	Bra
•0	000000	000	00

Branchwidth on knots

Conclusion O

Knots

Knot :

A knot is a tame embedding $\mathbb{S}^1 \to \mathbb{S}^3$ considered up to continuous deformation (ambient isotopy).



Natural algorithmic questions :

- Is a given knot equivalent to the trivial one ? (NP and co-NP)
- Are two given knots equivalent ?

Treewidth 000000 Branchwidth on graphs 000

Branchwidth on knots

Conclusion O

"Width" invariant of knots

Aim to measure some notion of "width" on knots.

Examples of width invariants :

• Trunk : Minimal number among linear sweepouts of the maximal number of intersections between the knot and a plane (or a sphere).



• Bridge number : Minimal number of trivial arcs on a sphere that "splits" the knot.

Treewidth

Branchwidth on graphs 000

Branchwidth on knots

Conclusion O

Treewidth on knots

Aim to measure "how close" a knot is to a tree.



A diagram of a knot is a 4-valent graph.

Diagrammatic treewidth of a knot K:

It is the minimum treewidth of a diagram of K.

Treewidth

Branchwidth on graphs 000

Branchwidth on knots 000000 Conclusion O

Treewidth of a graph

Aim to measure "how close" a graph is to a tree.

Treewidth of a graph G:

Maximum size of a bag in a tree decomposition of G.

In a tree decomposition :

- Each vertex is in a bag
- Each pair of edge extremities is in a bag
- Bags containing a fixed vertex create a subtree



Treewidth

Branchwidth on graphs 000

Branchwidth on knots

Conclusion O

Treewidth of a graph

Small treewidth :



High treewidth :





Treewidth ○○○●○○ Branchwidth on graphs 000

Branchwidth on knots 000000 Conclusion O

Why is treewidth interesting?

 Key tool for dynamic programming on graphs. For example: Jones polynomial, Kauffman polynomial [J. A. Makowsky and J. P. Mariño, 2003], HOMFLY-PT polynomial [B. A. Burton, 2018], and quantum invariants [C. Maria, 2019] are efficiently computable on small treewidth diagrams of knots.

Treewidth ○○○●○○ Branchwidth on graphs 000

Branchwidth on knots 000000 Conclusion O

Why is treewidth interesting?

- Key tool for dynamic programming on graphs. For example: Jones polynomial, Kauffman polynomial [J. A. Makowsky and J. P. Mariño, 2003], HOMFLY-PT polynomial [B. A. Burton, 2018], and quantum invariants [C. Maria, 2019] are efficiently computable on small treewidth diagrams of knots.
- It plays a major role in the **graph minor theory** from Robertson and Seymour.
- Occurs naturally in other areas: For example: 3-manifolds [K. Huszár and J. Spreer, 2019], lower bounds distortion on knots...

Treewidth

Branchwidth on graphs 000

Branchwidth on knots

Conclusion O

Back to knots

Knots always have diagrams with high treewidth.



Treewidth

Branchwidth on graphs 000

Branchwidth on knots

Conclusion O

Back to knots

Knots always have diagrams with high treewidth.



Question from [J. A. Makowsky and J. P. Mariño., *The parametrized complexity of knot polynomials*, 2003] : Are there knots for which all diagrams have high treewidth ?

Treewidth

Branchwidth on graphs 000

Branchwidth on knots 000000

Conclusion O

Back to knots

Theorem [A. De Mesmay, J. Purcell, S. Schleimer, and E. Sedgwick, *On the tree-width of knot diagrams.*, 2019]:

Let $T_{p,q}$ be a torus knot. Then $tw(T_{p,q}) = \Omega(\min(p,q))$.



Towards a 3D definition:

The proof uses a width notion generalising carving width (treewidth equivalent) on knots, using multiple Heegaard splittings.

Treewidth

Branchwidth on graphs 000

Branchwidth on knots 000000 Conclusion O

Back to knots

Theorem [A. De Mesmay, J. Purcell, S. Schleimer, and E. Sedgwick, *On the tree-width of knot diagrams.*, 2019]:

Let $T_{p,q}$ be a torus knot. Then $tw(T_{p,q}) = \Omega(\min(p,q))$.



Towards a 3D definition:

The proof uses a width notion generalising carving width (treewidth equivalent) on knots, using multiple Heegaard splittings.

My goal:

Define a width invariant on knots generalising branchwidth: definition stemming from structural graph theory tailored to proving lower bounds.

Branchwidth definition

Branchwidth is another equivalent of treewidth : $\frac{2}{3}tw \le bw \le tw$. Branchwidth of a Graph :

T is a trivalent tree and $\phi: E(G) \rightarrow L(T)$ is a bijection.

$$\mathsf{bw}(G) = \min_{(T,\phi)\in\mathsf{BD}(G)} \max_{e\in T} |\partial\phi^{-1}(L(T_1))|$$



Branchwidth definition

Branchwidth is another equivalent of treewidth : $\frac{2}{3}tw \le bw \le tw$. Branchwidth of a Graph :

T is a trivalent tree and $\phi: E(G) \rightarrow L(T)$ is a bijection.

$$\mathsf{bw}(G) = \min_{(T,\phi)\in\mathsf{BD}(G)} \max_{e\in T} |\partial\phi^{-1}(L(T_1))|$$



Branchwidth can be **lower bounded** through its dual problem: existence of a tangle.

Treewidth 000000 Branchwidth on graphs $\circ \bullet \circ$

Branchwidth on knots

Conclusion O

Tangle on graphs

Tangle definition

A tangle of order k, noted $\mathcal{T} \subset \mathcal{P}(E)$ is a collection of subsets of E ("small sides") such that :

- ∀A ∈ T, ∂A < k, sets in the tangle have boundary less than k.
- For all A, B ∈ P(E)², if (A, B) is a separation of order less than k, T contains A or B.
- $\forall A \in \mathcal{P}(E), \forall B \in \mathcal{T}, A \subset B$ and $\partial A < k \Rightarrow A \in \mathcal{T}$. Small sides are consistent.
- ∀A, B, C ∈ P(E)³, A ⊔ B ⊔ C = E
 ⇒ {A, B, C} ⊄ T. No three small sides can cover the whole space.
- $\forall e \in E, E \setminus \{e\} \notin \mathcal{T}$. A single edge is small.





Treewidth 000000 Branchwidth on graphs $\circ \circ \bullet$

Branchwidth on knots

Conclusion O

Obstruction



A branch decomposition of width 3 implies the impossibility for a tangle of order 3.



Branchwidth on knots

Conclusion O

Branchwidth definition



A double bubble : two spheres that intersect on a single disk.

Treewidth 000000 Branchwidth on graphs 000

Branchwidth on knots

Conclusion O

Branchwidth definition



A **branch-decomposition** or **sweep** of \mathbb{S}^3 is a continuous map $f: \mathbb{S}^3 \to T$ where T is a trivalent tree such that :

$$f^{-1}: \left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} {\rm leaf} & \mapsto & {\rm point} \\ {\rm vertex} & \mapsto & {\rm double\ bubble} \\ {\rm point\ interior\ to\ an\ edge} & \mapsto & {\rm sphere} \end{array} \right.$$

Treewidth 000000 Branchwidth on graphs 000

Branchwidth on knots

Conclusion O

Branchwidth definition



A **branch-decomposition** or **sweep** of \mathbb{S}^3 is a continuous map $f: \mathbb{S}^3 \to T$ where T is a trivalent tree such that :

 $f^{-1}: \left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} {\rm leaf} & \mapsto & {\rm point} \\ {\rm vertex} & \mapsto & {\rm double \ bubble} \\ {\rm point \ interior \ to \ an \ edge} & \mapsto & {\rm sphere} \end{array} \right.$

Branchwidth

The branchwidth of *K* written **bw**(*K*) is : $bw(K) = \inf_{f} \sup_{e \in E(T), x \in \mathring{e}} |f^{-1}(x) \cap K|.$

Bubble tangle on knots

Bubble tangle

Let K be a knot, **tangle of order** n, noted \mathcal{T} is a collection of closed balls of \mathbb{S}^3 such that:

- All subsets of \mathcal{T} have less than *n* intersections with *K* on their boundaries.
- For any spheres S of \mathbb{S}^3 , if $|S \cap K| < n$ then a component of $\mathbb{S}^3 \setminus S$ is in \mathcal{T} .
- For any three closed balls B_1, B_2, B_3 that induces a double bubble, not all three of B_1, B_2, B_3 are in \mathcal{T} .
- T contains trivial balls:





Theorem 1 If there exists a bubble tangle of order *n* on *K* then $bw(K) \ge n$.



Treewidth 000000 Branchwidth on graphs 000

Branchwidth on knots

Conclusion O

Results

Theorem 1

If there exists a bubble tangle of order *n* on *K* then $bw(K) \ge n$.

Theorem 2

Let *n* be the maximal order of a bubble tangle on *K*. Then bw(K) = n.

Branchwidth on graphs

Branchwidth on knots

Conclusion O

Distortion and representativity

Representativity

If $K \hookrightarrow \Sigma \hookrightarrow \mathbb{S}^3$, the representativity of K on Σ is the minimum number of intersection between a non contractible, compressible curve on Σ and K.



Branchwidth on graphs 000

Branchwidth on knots

Conclusion O

Distortion and representativity

Representativity

If $K \hookrightarrow \Sigma \hookrightarrow \mathbb{S}^3$, the representativity of K on Σ is the minimum number of intersection between a non contractible, compressible curve on Σ and K.



Distortion

The distortion of $K \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ is defined as $\delta(K) = \sup_{x,y \in K} \frac{d_K(x,y)}{d_{\mathbb{R}^3}(x,y)}.$

Pardon showed that representativity of torus knots lower bound their distortion [Pardon, 2011].

Treewidth 000000 Branchwidth on graphs 000

Branchwidth on knots

Conclusion O

Bubble tangle from representativity

Generalising the work of [Pardon, 2011],

Theorem 3

Let *K* be a knot of representativity *n* on a surface Σ . Then there exist a bubble tangle of order $c \times n$ (where $c \in [\frac{1}{2}, \frac{4}{3}]$).



Corollary

Torus knots have high treewidth.

Treewidth 000000 Branchwidth on graphs 000

Branchwidth on knots

Conclusion

Conclusion

Results:

- Branchwidth is a width invariant that can be defined on knots and generalises the notion from structural graph theory.
- It can be easily lower bounded through the dual problem: bubble tangle.
- These obstructions can arise from representativity.
- Extends naturally to spatial graphs

Treewidth 000000 Branchwidth on graphs 000

Branchwidth on knots

Conclusion

Conclusion

Results:

- Branchwidth is a width invariant that can be defined on knots and generalises the notion from structural graph theory.
- It can be easily lower bounded through the dual problem: bubble tangle.
- These obstructions can arise from representativity.
- Extends naturally to spatial graphs

Thank you for listening !



Removing an inessential curve from S.



Transformation from a double bubble to S^\prime



Evolution of two circles of $S_t \cap M$ when *t* grows.

Annexe

Diagram



 $T_{9,7}$ and one of its graph.